





## Clinical Study Final Report

**Product Name:** 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography)

**Duration:** April, 2021 to July, 2021

**Report Date:** 30 July 2021

**Performing labs:**

Site 1: MEDICAL ASSISTANCE GREGORMED GRZEGORZ PROKURAT

Address: Warsaw, Marii Kazimiery Street 26/103

Responsible by Grzegorz Prokurat

Site 2 iLab Medical AB

Address: Järnbrotts Prästvägen 2,421 47,Göteborg, Sweden

Responsible by Huaqing Li

Coordinator Shokoofeh Naghdi pour

**Manufacturer:** Guangzhou Decheng Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

Approved by: Weifang Liu

Date: 30 July 2021

General Manager

## INDEX

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Introduction.....                      | 1 |
| 1.1. Information about the applicant..... | 1 |
| 1.2. Background Information.....          | 1 |
| 1.3. Object of the study.....             | 2 |
| 1.4. Product Description.....             | 2 |
| 2. Methods.....                           | 3 |
| 3. Comparator.....                        | 3 |
| 4. Target.....                            | 3 |
| 5. Test result.....                       | 3 |
| 6. Conclusion.....                        | 6 |



## **1. Introduction**

### **1.1. Information about the applicant**

Name of the manufacturer:Guangzhou Decheng Biotechnology Co., Ltd

Address of the manufacturer:Room 218 and Room 212, Building 2, No.68, Nanxiang  
1<sup>st</sup> Road, Science City, Huangpu District, Guangzhou ,Guangdong, 510663,P.R.China

Contact person of the sponsor:Weifang Liu

Contact email :salar.liu@dochekbio.com

### **1.2. Background Information**

National government and international organizations including the World Health Organization (WHO) and European Commission have highlighted the importance of rapid screening of the Covid-19. The most efficient way is the early detection and prevention, thus the mass population testing and subsequent contact tracing become crucial to halt the chain of transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19. The current diagnostic test involves reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing of nose/throat swabs in specialized laboratories. However, there are significant challenges in creating testing capacity to identify those with asymptomatic infections or to test contacts of individuals with COVID-19. Especially for the current private clinics that test the asymptomatic customers for travelling. To date, turnaround time for RT-PCR has been typically slow (>24 hours). Even with a series of improvements of the current RT-PCR technology, the workflow of RT-PCR still involves experienced laboratory workers and facilities. To better workflow of RT-PCR still involves experienced laboratory workers and facilities [1-21]. To better understand and control SARS-CoV-2 transmission, there is an urgent need for large-scale accurate, affordable and rapid diagnostic testing assays, with the ability to detect infectious individuals. Lateral flow device (LFD) immunoassays can be designed to test for different protein targets and are routinely used in healthcare settings principally because of their affordability, ease of use, short turnaround time,



and high-test accuracy. This will assist many point-of-care (POC) facilities and private clinics to screen as many patients as possible.

### **1.3. Object of the study**

The objective of this study is to evaluate and validate the performance of 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography) by comparison to a reference method (Polymerase Chain Reaction (“PCR”) assay.

The primary objective of the study is to compare the results of the 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography) to the molecular diagnostic results for 2019-nCoV obtained. This will determine the positive percent agreement and negative percent agreement between molecular 2019-nCoV test results and the 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography).

### **1.4. Product Description**

#### **1.4.1. Intended use**

This kit is used for the in vitro qualitative detection of 2019-nCoV antigen. It is an immunochromatography sandwich assay, and intended to detect 2019-nCoV N-protein antigen in human nasal (NS) swab specimens. This kit can be used for individuals with or without symptoms or other epidemiological reasons to suspect COVID-19 infection.

#### **1.4.2. Principle of the test**

This kit uses double-antibody sandwich to legally detect the antigen of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in nasal swab samples. During detection, the gold labeled anti-2019-nCoV monoclonal antibody in the labeling pad binds to the 2019-nCoV antigen in the sample to form a complex. Then the reaction complex moves forward along the nitrocellulose membrane under the action of chromatography. It is captured by the anti-2019-nCoV monoclonal antibody pre-coated in the Test area (T) on the nitrocellulose membrane. Finally a red color reaction line is formed in the Test area (T). If the sample does not contain 2019-nCoV antigen, a red color reaction line



cannot be formed in the Test area (T). Regardless of whether the sample to be tested contains 2019-nCoV antigen, a red reaction line will always form in the quality control area (C), if the test has been performed properly.

## **2. Methods**

At least 150 positive specimens and 250 negative specimens will be collected for this study. Positive samples will be collected from symptomatic and asymptomatic groups. 2 swabs samples shall be collected for each subject after enrollment, one nasopharyngeal collected for reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) detection and one nasal swab collected for 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography). Sample for test are blinded before testing, and unblinded after all tests are finished. The specimen should be used to test immediately after collection and should not be frozen and thawed.

This test result of the 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography) are compared to that of the RT-PCR system for the validation of the performance with the nasopharyngeal swabs.

## **3. Comparator**

The RT-PCR used in site 1: Thermo Scientific CoviPath COVID-19RT-PCR Kit (fluorescence PCR method) from Thermofisher.

The RT-PCR used in site 2: TaqPath 1-Step RT-qPCR MasterMix kit from Thermofisher.

## **4. Target**

An analytical specificity of >97% an analytical sensitivity of >85%.

## **5. Test result**

4.1 Test result at site 1(MEDICAL ASSISTANCE GREGORMED GRZEGORZ PROKURAT)



The performance of 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography) was established with 458 nasal swabs(NS) collected from symptomatic and asymptomatic donors. The results are as follows:

| 2019-nCoV AgRapid Test Kit<br>(Immunochromatography) | Comparative RT-PCR Test Result |              |       |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|
|  | Positive (+)                   | Negative (-) | Total |
| Detected Positive                                    | 165                            | 2            | 167   |
| Detected Negative                                    | 5                              | 286          | 291   |
| Total  | 170                            | 288          | 458   |
| Sensitivity  | 97.06%, 95% CI (93.30, 98.73)  |              |       |
| Specificity  | 99.30%, 95% CI (97.50, 99.81)  |              |       |
| Accuracy   | 98.47%, 95% CI (96.88, 99.26)  |              |       |

*Note: The 95% confidence intervals were calculated for values in order to assess the level of uncertainty introduced by sample size, etc. Exact 95% confidence intervals for binomial proportions will be calculated from the F-distribution. (Armitage, 2002; Kirkwood, 2003]*

Positive results broken down by CT value:

| 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit<br>(Immunochromatography) | PCR Test Result<br>(Positive by Ct value) |                  |
|---|---|------------------|
|   | Positive (Ct<=25)                         | Positive (25<Ct) |
| Detected Positive                                     | 117                                       | 45               |
| Total   | 119                                       | 51               |
| Positive agreement                                    | 98.31%                                    | 88.24%           |

## 4.2 Test result at site 2(iLab Medical AB)

### 4.2.1 Sensitivity limit

For testing the detection limit, the following testing results for different PCR confirmed results were found.

| Ct Value | 15 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 35 | 36 |
|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Decheng  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | -  | -  |

Ct 31 is the limitation for the test kit and the virus dose is already very low.

#### 4.2.2 Sensitivity and specificity

120 positive samples that ranged from Ct 15 to Ct 36 randomly were testing and the results are as following:

|         | Positive(Ct $\leq$ 36) | Negative |
|---------|------------------------|----------|
| PCR     | 120                    | 0        |
| Decheng | 115                    | 5        |

However, if the detection limit of the antigen test Ct<32 as the protocol of setting the cut-off value, then the result are as following:

|         | Positive(Ct<32) | Negative |
|---------|-----------------|----------|
| PCR     | 116             | 0        |
| Decheng | 115             | 1        |

For the negative samples, the tests has carried out in total 230 tests, the results are as following:

|         | Positive | Negative |
|---------|----------|----------|
| PCR     | 0        | 230      |
| Decheng | 1        | 229      |

The summarized results for two different protocols are:

Protocol 1:

|         | Positive(Ct $\leq$ 36) | Negative | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|---------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| PCR     | 120                    | 230      |             |             |
| Decheng | 116*                   | 234      | 95.83%      | 99.57%      |

\*detected 115 positive plus1 false positive.

Protocol 2:

|         | Positive(Ct<32) | Negative | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|---------|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| PCR     | 116             | 230      |             |             |
| Decheng | 116*            | 230      | 99.14%      | 99.57%      |

\*detected 115 positive plus1 false positive.

#### 4.2.3 Kit failure rate



|         |        |         |
|---------|--------|---------|
|         | Failed | Succeed |
| Decheng | 0      | 350     |

#### 4.3 Summary of two labs

Comparison results between 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography) and RT-PCR method with 808 samples:

| 2019-nCoV AgRapid Test Kit<br>(Immunochromatography) | Comparative RT-PCR Test Result |              |       |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|
|  | Positive (+)                   | Negative (-) | Total |
| Detected Positive                                    | 280                            | 3            | 283   |
| Detected Negative                                    | 10                             | 515          | 525   |
| Total  | 290                            | 518          | 808   |
| Sensitivity  | 96.55%, 95% CI (93.77, 98.12)  |              |       |
| Specificity  | 99.42%, 95% CI (98.31, 99.80)  |              |       |
| Accuracy   | 98.39%, 95% CI (97.27, 99.06)  |              |       |

Positive results broken down by CT value:

| 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit<br>(Immunochromatography) | PCR Test Result<br>(Positive by Ct value) |                  |
|---|---|------------------|
|   | Positive (Ct≤25)                          | Positive (25<Ct) |
| Detected Positive                                     | 117+47                                    | 45+68            |
| Total   | 119+47                                    | 51+73            |
| Positive agreement                                    | 98.80%                                    | 91.13%           |

## 6. Conclusion

The performance of 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography) were compared to the RT-PCR test, 808 samples (290 positive samples and 518 negative samples), this results in an overall sensitivity 96.55%, 95% CI (93.77, 98.12) and in



an overall specificity 99.42%, 95% CI (98.31, 99.80). The accuracy is 98.39%, 95% CI (97.27, 99.06). There was no statistically significant difference between the test results of 2019-nCoV Ag Rapid Test Kit (Immunochromatography) and the results of RT-PCR.